

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/665,642	09/19/2000	George A. Smith	81,568	4763
75	90 11/21/2003		EXAMINER	
Russell R Stolle			OGDEN JR, NECHOLUS	
Huntsman Petrochemical Corporation			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O Box 15730 Austin, TX 78	3761		1751	
•			DATE MAILED: 11/21/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			0014			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/665,642	SMITH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Necholus Ogden	1751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	J36(a). In no event, however, may a r ly within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timel THS from the mailing date of this c ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 S	September 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-101 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-101 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
	0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	• •	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	kammer. Note the attached	Office Action of form P1	10-152.			
-	n priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	\$ 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
•••						
Attachment(s)        Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) M Interview S	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(	e) 14			
Notice of References Cited (FTO-692)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of In	ummary (P10-413) Paper No( formal Patent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 09/665,642 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

### RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 1. Claims 1-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Joseph Rubinfeld et al "Structure and Performance Property Relations of Straight Chain Alkyl benzenes" is withdrawn in view of applicant's remarks.

Application/Control Number: 09/665,642

Art Unit: 1751

£ %

Claims 1-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over J.L.G. de Almeida et al "Linear Alkyl benzene" is withdrawn in view of applicant's remarks.

## New grounds of rejection

Claims 1-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feierstein et al (4,162,236).

Feierstein et al disclose a detergent composition comprising alkyl benzene sulfonates having 12 or more carbon atoms having a 2 phenyl content of greater than 60% by weight of the total 2-phenyl. Furthermore, said reference teaches the use of hydrogen fluoride catalyst and vacuum pressure to facilitate the alkylation reaction (col. 2, lines 1-66). Feierstein et al further teach the inclusion of additional components such as builders, fillers, and other surfactants (col. 3-col. 4). See examples for specific processes.

Feierstein et al teach all of the instantly required except a specific teaching in the examples of the specific 2-phenyl alkylbenzene sulfonate and other detergent ingredients. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the components as described to exemplify the claimed composition because each of the components are taught and required in a single composition and the artisan of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the component in the absence a showing to the contrary. Moreover, it is held that non-preferred embodiments can be indicative of obviousness.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Necholus Ogden whose telephone number is 703-308-3732. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

BEST MALABLE COTY

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/665,642

Art Unit: 1751

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on 703-308-4708. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Necholus Ogden Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751

no

November 19, 2003